			UNITED STATES DEPAR United States Patent and Address: COMMISSIONER F P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 223 www.uspto.gov	Trademark Office OR PATENTS
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/051,591	01/18/2002	Rudy Mazzocchi	MVA1001USC4	3250
9561 7590 07/13/2004			EXAMINER	
	WILES & O'CONNE	BUJ, VY Q		
650 THIRD AVENUE SOUTH SUITE 600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			3731	
			DATE MAILED: 07/13/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/051,591	MAZZOCCHI ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Vy Q. Bui	3731	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep population of reply specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a bly within the statutory minimum of thin I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI te, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 A	April 2004.		
2a)		is action is non-final.		
3)		ance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is	
	closed in accordance with the practice under	<i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	ion of Claims			
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-56</u> is/are pending in the application	n		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
	Claim(s) <u>1-56</u> is/are rejected.			
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
,—	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
	2. Certified copies of the priority documer		Application No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			
	application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
*	See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not	t received.	
Attachmei	nt(s)			
1) 🗌 Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
3) [스] Info	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/18/04,9/4/02 & 1</u> /(6/0℃・	6) 🗌 Notice of 6)		

.

•

Application/Control Number: 10/051,591 Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of species IV shown in Fig. 12A-12B in the reply filed on 4/20/2004 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 40 (line 14), claim 45 (line 14) and claim 51 (line 15) recite the limitation "the

restraint". There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 5-13, 16-22, 24-32 and 35-56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by RUSCH (GB-2020557).

As to claims 1-3, 5-13, 16-22, 24-32 and 35-39, RUSCH-'557 (Figs. 1-6, foe example)

discloses a device comprising solid spring wire/carrying means/metal guidewire 4, longitudinally

self-expandable filter 6 (page 2, lines 25-27) defining a cavity in a radially expanded

configuration with a proximally facing opening (Fig. 6, for example), means 5 for expanding filter

6, distal band 7, proximal band 1, flexible portion 8 (made of wire guide 4 and plastic outer element) extending distally of the distal end of filter 6 as recited in the claims and having at least one tapered portion.

As to claims 40-56, RUSCH-'557 inherently discloses a method of using the device as mentioned in the above claims. Notice that during a use of the RUSCH device, a physician can make a cavity having a proximately facing opening to trap a material by activate controlling element 4 and the opening inherently will change from a larger diameter to a smaller diameter so as to define a closing step as recited in the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 14-15, 23 and 33-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over RUSCH (GB-2020557).

RUSCH-'557 discloses substantially all structural limitations as recited in the claims, except for the filter is comprised of a metal or a Nitinol. A metal such as a stainless steel and an alloy such as a nitinol are well known materials which can be used safely in a patient body in the catheter art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to make filter 6 out of a metal or a nitinol as claimed, for this material would be safe for a deployment in a patient body.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vy Q. Bui whose telephone number is 703-306-3420. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, McDermott or Shaver can be reached on 703-308-0858. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have guestions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

07/09/2004

Vy Q. Bui Primary Examiner Art Unit 3731